Wiadomości Lekarskie

Official journal of the Polish Medical Association



Memory of
dr Władysław
Biegański

VOLUME LXXV, ISSUE 7, JULY 2022

Since 1928

ALUNA Publishing House

Wiadomości Lekarskie is abstracted and indexed in: PUBMED/MEDLINE, SCOPUS, EMBASE, INDEX COPERNICUS, POLISH MINISTRY OF EDUCATION AND SCIENCE, POLISH MEDICAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

Wiadomości Lekarskie
monthly journal

You can order the subscription for the journal from Wydawnictwo Aluna by:
prenumerata@wydawnictwo-aluna.pl
Wydawnictwo Aluna
Z.M. Przesmyckiego 29
05-510 Konstancin-Jeziorna
Poland

Place a written order first.

If you need, ask for an invoice.
Payment should be done to the following account of the Publisher:
account number for Polish customers (PLN):
82 1940 1076 3010 7407 0000 0000
Credit Agricole Bank Polska S. A., SWIFT: AGRIPLPR

account number for foreign customers (EURO):
57 2490 0005 0000 4600 7604 3035
Alior Bank S. A.: SWIFT: ALBPPLPW

Subscription of twelve consecutive issues (1-12):
Customers in Poland: 480 PLN/year
Customers from other countries: 360 EURO/year

Wiadomości Lekarskie

Editor in-Chief:
Prof. Władysław Pierzchała

Deputy Editor in-Chief:
Prof. Aleksander Sieroń

Statistical Editor:
Dr Lesia Rudenko

Managing Editor:
Agnieszka Rosa – amarosa@wp.pl

International Editorial Office:
Nina Radchenko (editor)
– n.radchenko@wydawnictwo-aluna.pl

Polish Medical Association (Polskie Towarzystwo Lekarskie):
Prof. Waldemar Kostewicz – President PTL
Prof. Jerzy Woy-Wojciechowski – Honorary President PTL

Distribution and Subscriptions:
Bartosz Guterman prenumerata@wydawnictwo-aluna.pl
Graphic design / production:
Grzegorz Sztank www.red-studio.eu

Publisher:
ALUNA Publishing House
ul. Przesmyckiego 29,
05-510 Konstancin – Jeziorna
www.wydawnictwo-aluna.pl
www.wiadomoscilekarskie.pl
www.wiadlek.pl

FOR AUTHORS

1. The monthly "Wiadomości Lekarskie" Journal is the official journal of the Polish Medical Association. Original studies, review papers as well as case reports are published.
2. In 2022, the cost of publishing the manuscript is PLN 1,500 plus 23% VAT. From 2022, the publication costs for foreign authors amount to EUR 450, of which EUR 50 is payable with the submission of the article (includes the costs of review, anti-plagiarism system, English language level assessment, checking the compliance of the manuscript with the regulations of the publishing house, etc.), and the remaining EUR 400 - after accepting the article for publication. Thanks to obtaining funding for authors from Ukraine, the cost of publication for Ukrainian authors is EUR 350. EUR 50 is payable together with the submission of the article, and EUR 300 - after accepting the article for publication. The publisher issues invoices. If the first author of the manuscript is a member of the Editorial Board, we do not charge a fee for printing the manuscript. Membership of the Polish Medical Association with documented paid membership fees for the last 3 years is also the exempt from publication fee.
3. Only papers in English are accepted for publication. The editors can help in finding the right person for translation or proofreading.
4. Papers should be sent to the editor via the editorial panel (Editorial System), available on the journal's website at https://www.wiadlek.pl. In order to submit an article, free registration in the system is necessary. After registration, the author should follow the instructions on the computer screen.
5. All editorial work is under control and using the editorial panel. This applies in particular to sending manuscripts, correspondence between the editor and author and the review process. In special cases, the editor may agree to contact outside the panel, especially in case of technical problems.
6. Acceptable formats for individual elements of the article are as follows:
   A) Content of the article – doc, docx, rtf, odt.
   B) Tables – doc, docx, rtf, odt
   C) Figures – JPG, GIF, TIF, PNG with a resolution of at least 300 dpi
   D) Captions for figures and tables.
   These elements are sent to the editor separately using the editorial panel. References and article metadata such as titles, keywords, abstracts etc. are supplemented by the author manually in the editorial panel in appropriate places.
7. The volume of original papers – including figures and references – must not exceed 21,600 characters (12 pages of typescript), and review papers – up to 28,800 characters (16 pages).
8. The original manuscript should have the following structure: Introduction, Aims, Material and methods, Results, Discussion and Conclusions which cannot be a summary of the manuscript.
9. When using abbreviations, it is necessary to provide the full wording at the first time they are used.
10. In experimental manuscripts in which studies on humans or animals have been carried out, as well as in clinical studies, information about obtaining the consent of the Ethics Committee should be included.
11. The Editorial Board follow the principles contained in the Helsinki Declaration as well as in the Interdisciplinary Principles and Guidelines for the Use of Animals in Research, Testing and Education, published by the New York Academy of Sciences Ad Hoc Committee on Animal Research. All papers relating to animals or humans must comply with ethical principles set out by the Ethics Committee.
12. The abstract should contain 150-250 words. Abstracts of original, both clinical and experimental, papers should have the following structure: Aims, Material and methods, Results, Conclusions. Do not use abbreviations in the title or the abstract. The abstract is pasted or rewritten by the authors into the appropriate field in the application form in the editorial panel.
13. Keywords (3-5) should be given according to MeSH (Medical Subject Headings Index Medicus catalogs – http://www.nim.nih.gov.mesh/MBrower.html). Keywords cannot be a repetition of the title of the manuscript.
14. Illustrative material may be black and white or color photographs, clearly contrasting or drawings carefully made on a white background. With the exception of selected issues, the Journal is printed in shades of gray (black and white illustrations).
15. The content of the figures, if present (e.g. on the charts), should also be in English
16. Links to all tables and figures (round brackets) as well as references (square brackets) the author must place in the text of the article.
17. Only references to which the author refers in the text should be included in the list of references ordered by citation. There should be no more than 30 items in original papers and no more than 40 items in review papers. Each item should contain: last names of all authors, first letters of first names, the title of the manuscript, the abbreviation of the journal title (according to Index Medicus), year, number, start and end page. For book items, please provide: author's (authors') last name, first letter of the first name, chapter title, book title, publisher, place and year of publication. It is allowed to cite websites with the URL and date of use of the article, and if possible the last names of the authors. Each literature item should have a reference in the text of the manuscript placed in square brackets, e.g. [1], [3-6]. Items should be organized as presented in Annex 1 to these Regulations.
18. When submitting the article to the editor, the authors encloses a statement that the work was not published or submitted for publication in another journal and that they take full responsibility for its content, and the information that may indicate a conflict of interest, such as:
    1. financial dependencies (employment, paid expertise, consulting, ownership of shares, fees),
    2. personal dependencies,
    3. academic and other competition that may affect the substantive side of the work,
    4. sponsorship of all or part of the research at the stage of design, collection, analysis and interpretation of data, or report writing.
19. The authors in the editorial panel define their contribution to the formation of scientific work according to the following key:
    A – Work concept and design
    B – Data collection and analysis
    C – Responsibility for statistical analysis
    D – Writing the article
    E – Critical review
    F – Final approval of the article.
20. In the editorial panel along with the affiliation, the author also gives her or his ORCID number.
21. The Journal is reviewed in double, blind review mode. The submitted papers are evaluated by two independent reviewers and then qualified for publishing by the Editor-in-Chief. Reviews are anonymous. The authors receive critical reviews with a request to correct the manuscript or with a decision not to qualify it for publishing. The procedure for reviewing articles is in line with the recommendations of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education contained in the paper "Good practices in review procedures in science" (Warsaw 2011). Detailed rules for dealing with improper publishing practices are in line with COPE guidelines. The publishing review rules are in the Review Rules section.
22. Each manuscript is subject to verification in the anti-plagiarism system.
23. Manuscripts are sent for the author's approval. The author's corrections should be sent within the time limit indicated in the system. No response within the given deadline is tantamount to the author's acceptance of the submitted material. In special cases, it is possible to set dates individually.
24. Acceptance of the manuscript for publishing means the transfer of copyright to the Aluna Publishing House (Aluna Anna Łuczyńska, NIP 5251624918).
25. Articles published on-line and available in open access are published under Creative Common Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0) allowing to download articles and share them with others as long as they credit the authors and the publisher, but without permission to change them in any way or use them commercially.
26. The authors receive a free PDF of the issue in which their mansucript is enclosed, and on request – a printed copy. The printed copy is sent to the address indicated by the authors as the correspondence address.
27. Manuscripts not concordant with the above instructions will be returned to be corrected.
28. The editors do not return papers which have not been commissioned.
29. The editors take no responsibility for the contents of the advertisements.

CONTENTS

ORIGINAL ARTICLES

REVIEW ARTICLES

CASE STUDIES

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

ANATOMICAL VARIATIONS OF THE PARIETAL FORAMEN AND ITS RELATIONS TO THE CALVARIAL LANDMARKS: A CROSS-SECTIONAL CADAVERIC STUDY

DOI: 10.36740/WLek202207106

Andrii Shmarhalov[1], Oleg Vovk[2], Volodymyr Ikramov[2], Yogesh Acharya[3], Oleksandra Vovk[2]

[1]AVALON UNIVERSITY, CURACAO, NETHERLANDS ANTILLES

[2] KHARKIV NATIONAL MEDICAL UNIVERSITY, KHARKIV, UKRAINE

[3]GALWAY UNIVERSITY HOSPITAL, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF IRELAND, GALWAY, IRELAND

ABSTRACT

The aim: Estimate the prevalence of the parietal foramen in the adult human skulls of Ukrainian origin, and study its morphology and relationships to main anatomical landmarks of the skull.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional observational study of PF was conducted with 42 random cadaveric adult human skull roofs (calvaria) collected from the laboratory and museum of Human Anatomy Department, Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine. The patency and the length of the PF canal were determined, and PF external/internal diameters and the distance to the calvarial landmarks from PF were measured using the caliper. Mean and standard deviation were calculated to compare with the existing data.

Results: In the present study 85.7% (n = 36) of the calvaria had the PF, 54.8% (n = 23) had bilateral location of PF, 30.9% (n = 13) had unilateral presence of PF (right side: 23.8%, n=10 and left side: 7.1%, n=3), and 14.3 % (n = 6) demonstrated bilateral absence of PF.

Conclusions: An anatomical variation in parietal foramen is not uncommon, and the differences can be based on multiple factors like geography and race. It is important to have detailed information on anatomical variations in different population groups to facilitate surgical and radiological interventions.

KEY WORDS: Parietal Foramen, Parietal Bone, Skull, Calvaria, Anatomical Variations

Wiad Lek. 2022;75(7):1648-1652

INTRODUCTION

Gaining new knowledge and establishing patterns of variability in cranial foramina, especially those that are small in size and significantly variable in their topography, their presence, and content, is important in areas such as neurosurgery, maxillofacial surgery, three-dimensional diagnosis, and minimally invasive interventions [1, 2].

It is known that the calvaria and the skull base contain numerous openings permeated with vital vascular or nerve formations. Detailed knowledge of the anatomy of these holes is important not only for understanding the local topography but also for the differentiation of normal and potentially anomalous structures [1, 3, 4]. Many researchers believe that misunderstanding variations in such formations leads to frequent damage to blood vessels or nerves during active manipulation of tools around the areas with cranial foramina [5, 6]. Detailed anatomy of the emissary foramina is important for understanding epilepsy and risk factors for seizure development [7, 8].

Particularly important and vulnerable in such cases are the foramina of the skull, through which the emissary veins pass, connecting the dural venous sinuses, diploic canals, and extracranial veins of the head [9]. Also in such openings, the arterial branches participating in the blood supply of the dura mater can pass [10].

The parietal foramen (PF) is one of these important emissary openings that have practical significance. The PF contains the emissary vein, which connects the superficial veins of the head and superior sagittal sinus and has a bilateral drainage function, which in pathological conditions can be a way for infection [11]. In addition, there is a vessel that forms an arterial anastomosis with the middle meningeal artery and branches of the superficial temporal artery [11, 12]. This arterial anastomosis can be a source of significant bleeding in case of a craniotomy in the parietal area [13].

THE AIM

To estimate the prevalence of PF in the adult human skulls of Ukrainian origin, and to study their morphology and relationships to main anatomical landmarks of the skull.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We aim to estimate the prevalence of PF in the adult human skulls of Ukrainian origin, study their morphological varia-



Fig. 1. Picture showing the dry cranial roofs in the present study (A) The bilateral location of the parietal foramen. (B) The unilateral location of the parietal foramen. (C) The cranium without the parietal foramen.

Table I. Table showing the morphological characteristics of the parietal foramina (PF) in the cadaveric adult human skull roofs (calvaria) of the Ukrainian origin

PF (Physical attributes)	Side	Samples	Mean ± Standard Deviation (mm)	Range (mm)	Confidence Interval (95%)	p-value
External Diameter	Right	33	1.7±0.6	0.5 - 3.0	1.49 to 1.91	P < 0.0001 (t= 6.830)
	Left	26	2.7±0.5	1.0 - 2.7	2.51 to 2.89	
Internal Diameter	Right	33	1.0±0.6	0.4 - 2.5	0.795 to 1.21	P = 0.4679 (t= 0.731)
	Left	26	1.1±0.4	0.5 - 1.8	0.946 to 1.25	
Length of the canal	Right	33	5.4±1.7	2.0 - 8.0	4.75 to 6.05	P = 0.0429 (t= 2.071)
	Left	26	6.3±1.6	3.0 -10.0	5.68 to 6.92	

Table II. Table summarizing the distance between parietal emissary foramina (PF) and main calvarial landmarks in the cadaveric adult human skull roofs (calvaria) of the Ukrainian origin

PF-main calvarial landmarks	Side	Samples	Mean ± Standard Deviation (mm)	Range (mm)	Confidence Interval (95%)	p-value
PF-bregma	Right	33	86.3±8.5	70-99	83.4 to 89.2	P = 0.7043 (t= 0.381)
	Left	26	87.2±9.6	62-99	83.5 to 90.9	
PF-vertex	Right	33	43.7±12.9	20-65	39.3 to 48.1	P = 0.6635 (t= 0.437)
	Left	26	45.2±13.3	21-77.5	40.1 to 50.3	
PF-lambda	Right	33	35.7±11.1	22.5-62	31.9 to 39.5	P = 0.8890 (t= 0.140)
	Left	26	36.1±10.6	24-61	32.5 to 39.7	
PF-obelion	Right	23	7.2±3.2	1.0-12.5	5.89 to 8.51	P=0.966031 (t= 0.04)
	Left	23	7.4±3.4	1.0-14.0	6.01 to 8.79	

tions, and establish anatomical relationships to other major landmarks. A cross-sectional observational study was conducted for this purpose taking 42 random cadaveric adult human skull roofs (calvaria) of Ukrainian origin collected from the laboratory and museum of Human Anatomy Department, Kharkiv National Medical University, Ukraine. The exact gender and age of the specimens were not determined. The skulls and bones with visible pathological changes and apparent deformities at the cranial roof were excluded from the study.

The specimens were examined, and the presence of PF was described. The patency and the length of the canal were determined with a standard metal probe. The external and internal diameters of the foramina were measured with the caliper, and the distance from PF to the important calvarial landmarks – craniometrical points obelion, bregma, lambda, and vertex were additionally measured. Mean and standard deviation were calculated to compare with the existing data.

RESULTS

Among 42 calvaria, 85.7% (n = 36) of the calvaria had visible PF located close to the posterior 1/2 of the sagittal suture. Out of all specimens, 54.8% (n = 23) had bilateral location of PF, 30.9% (n = 13) had unilateral presence of PF (right side: 23.8%, n=10 and left side: 7.1%, n=3), and 14.3 % (n = 6) demonstrated bilateral absence of PF (Fig.1).

The external and internal diameters and length of the PF were measured both on the right and left sides (Table I).

Table III. Table summarizing the incidence of the parietal foramen in different populations as observed and reported by various authors and the present study

Authors	Incidence (%)		
	Overall	Unilateral	Bilateral
Boyd, 1930, [21].	60.4	35.9	19.9
Wysocki et al., 2006, [14].	60	-	-
Yoshioka et al., 2006, [15].	50	20	40
Mann et al., 2009, [16].	79.6	34.3	45.3
Murlimanju et al., 2015, [12].	71.5	32.7	55.2
Gangmei et al., 2018 [17]	91.7	29.2	62.5
Naidoo et al., 2020 [22]	68	35	32
Liu et al., 2021, [23].	82.86	-	-
de Souza Ferreira et al., 2021, [25].	84.3	39.0 (in females) 30.0 (in males)	44.7 (in females) 54.9 (in males)
Present study	85.7	30.9	54.8

The range of the external diameter was 0.5-2.7 mm, and the mean was 1.7±0.6 mm and 2.7±0.5 on the right and on the left sides respectively. The internal diameter was from 0.4 mm to 2.5 mm with the mean of 1.0±0.6 mm on the right and 1.1±0.4 mm on the left. The mean external diameter was greater than the internal diameter in both the right ($p<0.0001$) and left ($p < 0.0001$). Both the external and internal diameters appeared to be larger on the left than on the right, but only the differences in the external diameters were significant ($P < 0.0001$, $t= 6.830$).

The length of the canal was established with a wide range of 2.0-10.0 mm with the mean 5.4±1.7 mm on the right and 6.3±1.6 mm on the left side. Also, the length of the PF canals was significantly higher in the left than the right halves of the calvaria ($P = 0.0429$, $t= 2.071$).

We also estimated the amplitude of the distance between the main landmarks of the calvaria and the PF. The distance from the PF to obelion was measured among the group of specimens with the bilateral presence of the PF ($n = 23$). This dimension had a wide range from 1.0 mm to 14.0 mm without significant difference on the sides (7.2±3.2 mm on the right and 7.4±3.4 on the left side, $P=0.966031$, $t= 0.04$). The range of distance between PF and bregma was 62.0-99.0 mm and the mean distance from PF to bregma was 86.3±8.5 mm and 87.2±9.6 mm on the right and on the left respectively. The distance of the PF from lambda ranged 22.5-62.0 mm with an established mean of 35.7±11.1 mm on the right and 36.1±10.6 mm on the left side. And the distance from the PF to the vertex had a range from 20.0 mm to 77.5 mm and the mean distance between the PF and vertex was 43.7±12.9 mm on the right and 45.2±13.3 mm on the left side. There was no significant difference between the PF and mentioned cranial landmarks depending on the right or left side (Table II).

DISCUSSION

Evaluating the prevalence of PF we have to take into account existing data that parietal foramen is found in more than half of the population and variations in these foramina is based on multiple factors like geography and race [14, 15]. In the present study, more than 4/5 of samples (85.7%) had PF, comparable to the prevalence observed by Mann [16] and Gangmei et al., [17], but other researchers reported significantly lesser prevalence (Table III). These foramina were located alongside the sagittal suture at its middle or posterior third. Bilateral localizations were the most common, although they may be present on either side or sometimes completely absent [18]. Slightly more than half (54.8%) of our samples had bilateral foramen similar to the study reported by Murlimanju et al., [12]. As we can see from different reports, bilateral localization is more common than unilateral (Table III). It is believed, that the diversity in the location of PF can be attributed to the differences in the process of ossification of the anterior fontanels [19, 12].

Different study populations had reported variable PF diameter, but the abnormally large diameter is uncommon and rarely reported [13, 20]. Diameters are usually found to be larger in people from Australia and New Zealand [21]. Similarly, the shape of the parietal foramina may be round, oval, or slit-like [13, 14]. The dimensions of the foramen and its distance from the sagittal midline affect the shape of the foramina in the relevant area [19]. Variability can be explained by differences in the ossifications as mentioned earlier.

In the present study, we observed the diameter of PF ranging 0.5 – 3.0 mm which is similar to earlier reports. Boyd has found the average size of the PF about 0.5 mm with rare cases larger than 1.5 mm [21]. In the other study, reported by Wysocki et al., the average size found was twice as major in female skulls (3 mm) than in males (1.5 mm) and a range was from 0.38 to 16.8 mm, and sexual dimorphism in the parietal ossification was suggested [14]. The study of Naidoo et al., recorded a mean diameter of 1.55 mm, with a range of 0.74–3.08 mm [22]. Similarly, Liu et al. reported that the mean diameter of the PF on the left and right sides were 1.02±0.72 mm and 1.07±0.67 mm, respectively, and the diameter of the PF on the sagittal suture was 1.77±0.44 mm [23].

The canal between the external and internal openings of the PF was found to have a twisted course. In our samples, the length of the canal on the left was also greater, especially when it followed the inclined course. There is a lack of data regarding this parameter in the literature.

PF is usually enlarged by intracranial space-occupying lesions as they behave like a safety valve to maintain the internal pressure [12]. The practical significance of PF foramina is important because of the emissary vein, which passes through it and connects superficial veins of the head and superior sagittal sinus. Although not frequently present, this vein basically functions as drainage and is a potential pathway for the inward spread of the infection [21, 16, 24]. In addition, they also transmit blood vessels that form an arterial anastomosis between the middle meningeal artery and branches of the superficial temporal artery [10]. It is important to remember that in the case of the parietal craniotomy these blood vessels can cause significant bleeding leading to high morbidity and mortality [6].

Regarding the topography of the PF, our study showed that the most common location of the PF was at the sides of the sagittal suture, middle of the distance between the craniometric points vertex and lambda (Table II). The maximum distance was observed between the PF and the point of bregma (86.3±8.5 mm on the right and 87.2±9.6 mm on the left), and the minimal distance was between PF and the obelion (7.2±3.2 mm on the right and 7.4±3.4 mm on the left) (Table II). Similar data were published by de Souza Ferreira et al., which stated that parietal foramina are located in the proximity of the sagittal suture (male 7.1 ± 2.5 mm vs. female, 7.4 ± 2.7 mm) [25].

This relevant information and characteristics can be used to determine the zone of possible localization of the emissary vessels to avoid accidental damage to these structures and prevent subsequent complications during surgical interventions. Unfortunately, we couldn't make a sufficient comparison as there was a dearth of literature. We believe that our data helps to complement this gap, prove useful in further study, and provide practical application.

CONCLUSIONS

An anatomical variation in parietal foramen is not uncommon, and the differences can be based on multiple factors like geography and race. Detailed anatomical knowledge based on the accumulation and comparison of a large amount of data from different populations for a comprehensive study of the emissary foramina will certainly improve the diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of various pathological conditions of the scalp region. We recommend that regional studies and information be collected and analyzed to incorporate them into different clinical procedures for optimal patient outcomes.

REFERENCES

1. Akkoca K.F., Bayrakdar İ.Ş., Bilgir E. Incidence of anomalous canals in the base of the skull: a retrospective radio-anatomical study using cone-beam computed tomography. Surg Radiol Anat. 2020;42(2):171-177. doi: 10.1007/s00276-019-02307-7.
2. Keskil S., Gozil R., Calguner E. Common surgical pitfalls in the skull. Surg. Neurol. 2003; 59:228-231.
3. Pekcevik Y., Pekcevik R. Why should we report posterior fossa emissary veins? Diagn. Interv. Radiol. 2014; 20: 7881.
4. Nawashiro H., Nawashiro T., Nawashiro A. Subcutaneous Extension of Parasagittal Atypical Meningioma Through Parietal Foramen. World Neurosurg. 2019; 125:104-105. doi: 10.1016/j.wneu.2019.01.185.
5. Gözil R., Kadioglu D., Calgüner E. Occipital emissary foramen in skulls from Central Anatolia. Act. Anat. 1995; 153: 325-326.
6. Mortazavi M.M., Tubbs R.S., Riech S. et al. Anatomy and pathology of the cranial emissary veins: a review with surgical implications. Neurosurgery. 2012; 70(5):1312-18.
7. Presto P., D'Souza P., Kopacz A. et al. Association between Foramen Size and Febrile Seizure Status in the Pediatric Population: A Two-Center Retrospective Analysis. J Neurosci Rural Pract. 2020;11(3):430-435. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1712717.
8. Gopal N., Jain A., Sandhu S.J.S. et al. A Rare Congenital Cause of Epilepsy. Cureus. 2020;12(10): e11204. doi: 10.7759/cureus.11204.
9. Standring S. Gray's anatomy. 39 th edition. Elsevier Churchill Livingstone, Edinburgh 2005, 25p.
10. Yoshioka N., Rhoton A.L., Abe H. Scalp to meningeal arterial anastomosis in the parietal foramen. Neurosurgery. 2006; 58(1): ONS123-6.
11. Freire A.R., Rossi A.C., de Oliveira V.C. et al. Emissary foramina of the human skull: anatomical characteristics and its relations with clinical neurosurgery. Int. J. Morphol. 2013; 31:287-92.
12. Murlimanju B.V., Saralaya V.V., Somesh M.S. et al. Morphology and topography of the parietal emissary foramina in South Indians: an anatomical study. Anat Cell Biol. 2015; 48(4):292-8.
13. Griessenauer C.J., Veith P., Mortazavi M.M. et al. Enlarged parietal foramina: a review of genetics, prognosis, radiology, and treatment. Childs Nerv Syst. 2013; 29 (4):543–47.
14. Wysocki J., Reymond J., Skarzyński H., Wróobel B. The size of selected human skull foramina in relation to skull capacity. Folia Morphol (Warsz). 2006; 65:301–8.
15. Yoshioka N., Rhoton A.L., Abe H. Scalp to meningeal arterial anastomosis in the parietal foramen. Neurosurgery. 2006; 58(1): ONS123-6.
16. Mann R.W., Manabe J., Byrd J.E. Relationship of the parietal foramen and complexity of the human sagittal suture. Int. J. Morphol. 2009; 27(2):553-64.
17. Gaining G., Sushila Devi H., Daimei T. et al. Variations of a parietal foramen in dried adult human skulls. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences (IOSR-JDMS). 2018; 17(5): 36-29. doi: 10.9790/0853-1705062629.
18. Kimbel W.H. Variation in the pattern of cranial venous sinuses and hominid phylogeny. Am. J. Phys. Anthropol. 1984; 63(3):243-63.
19. Berge J.K, Bergman R.A. Variations in size and in symmetry of foramina of the human skull. Clin. Anat. 2001; 14(6):406-13.
20. Stibbe E.P. Skull showing Perforations of Parietal Bone, or Enlarged Parietal Foramina. J Anat. 1929; 63(2):277-8.
21. Boyd G.I. The Emissary Foramina of the Cranium in Man and the Anthropoids. J Anat. 1930; 65(1):108-21.
22. Naidoo J., Luckrajh J.S., Lazarus L. Parietal foramen: incidence and topography. Folia Morphol (Warsz). 2020 Nov 26. doi: 10.5603/FM.a2020.0140.
23. Liu D., Yang H., Wu J. et al. Anatomical observation and significance of the parietal foramen in Chinese adults. Folia Morphol (Warsz). 2021. doi: 10.5603/FM.a2021.0106.
24. Ruíz D.S.M., Gailloud P., Rüfenacht D.A. et al. The craniocervical venous system in relation to cerebral venous drainage. Am. J. Neuroradiol. 2002; 23 (9):1500–8.

25. de Souza Ferreira M.R., Galvão A.P.O., de Queiroz Lima P.T.M.B. et al. The parietal foramen anatomy: studies using dry skulls, cadaver and in vivo MRI. Surg Radiol Anat. 2021. doi: 10.1007/s00276-020-02650-0.

ORCID and contributionship:
Andrii Shmarhalov: 0000-0002-2214-3008 [A-F]
Oleg Vovk: 0000-0002-9788-3000 [A-C]
Volodymyr Ikramov: 0000-0002-9906-4818 [A-C]
Yogesh Acharya: 0000-0003-1829-5911 [A-D]
Oleksandra Vovk: 0000-0002-0649-3163 [D-F]

Conflict of interest:
The Authors declare no conflict of interest.

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR
Oleksandra Vovk
Kharkiv National Medical University
4 Nauky Avenue, 61022 Kharkiv, Ukraine
tel: +380504750215
e-mail: vovkalexandra80@ukr.net

Received: 07.12.2021
Accepted: 30.05.2022

A - Work concept and design, **B** – Data collection and analysis, **C** – Responsibility for statistical analysis, **D** – Writing the article, **E** – Critical review, **F** – Final approval of the article